Towards Strengthening the Integration of Education, Engineering, and Enforcement within a Safe System Framework in Zambia

Denis Mwaba[1*], Chabota Kaliba[1]

[*]lead presenter: denis@unza.ac.zm

[1] University of Zambia, Zambia

Keywords: Safe System, Enforcement, Engineering, Road User Behaviour, infrastructure planning

Background

The persistence of fatalities and serious injuries indicates that road safety challenges should not be treated solely as isolated acts of road user misconduct or as ad hoc enforcement and engineering activities, but rather as a system performance problem requiring coordinated interventions across legislation, institutions, infrastructure design, enforcement, and post-crash response. Safety enforcement activities in Zambia are predominantly characterized by intermittent patrols, sporadic checkpoints, and manual, case-by-case processing of offences. Such an approach weakens deterrence because offences are not consistently tracked from detection through adjudication and sanction. The absence of a coordinated enforcement pipeline reduces the certainty of detection and consequence.

Education campaigns, while important, have demonstrated limited short- to medium-term behavioral impact. Persistent issues such as driving under the influence of alcohol, operation of non-roadworthy vehicles, and aggressive or risk-taking driving behaviors remain prevalent. These behaviors are influenced not only by knowledge deficits but also by socio-cultural attitudes and economic pressures. For example, vandalism of road signage and infrastructure, often linked to poverty, directly compromises engineering interventions designed to enhance safety.

Engineering challenges further compound the problem as long-term spatial planning has not adequately protected sufficient road reserves to accommodate future traffic growth or vulnerable road users such as pedestrians and cyclists. As a result, streets are frequently constructed without provision for non-motorized transport. Retrofitting safety measures becomes financially prohibitive. Additionally, certain design compromises, such as leaving drainage channels open for ease of maintenance, introduce safety hazards that conflict with Safe System design principles.

Aim

To examine how Education, Engineering, and Enforcement in Zambia can be restructured and integrated within a Safe System framework to enhance institutional coordination and improve road safety outcomes.

Method

This study adopts a qualitative systems-based analytical approach. A structured review of current enforcement practices, institutional processes, and infrastructure planning mechanisms in Zambia. Enforcement workflows were conceptually mapped to identify discontinuities between offence detection, adjudication, and sanction implementation. Infrastructure planning practices were examined. Behavioural and socio-cultural risk factors were analysed to understand their interaction with enforcement and engineering constraints. Based on these analyses, a conceptual model of an integrated enforcement and administrative system aligned with Safe System principles was developed.

Results

The analysis identifies three interrelated weaknesses within the current road safety framework. First, enforcement lacks a coherent end-to-end processing system. An effective enforcement pipeline should reliably move from detection of offence to notification, adjudication (including appeals), demerit point posting, fine collection, and, where applicable, license suspension or other administrative action. In the current system, these stages are insufficiently integrated, resulting in delays, incomplete processing, and reduced deterrent effect.

Furthermore, infrastructure development is constrained by limited long-term planning and inadequate protection of road reserves. This restricts the ability to design self-explaining and forgiving roads that accommodate human error. Non-motorized transport infrastructure is often omitted, and post-construction modifications are costly. Maintenance capacity also influences design decisions, such as keeping drainage systems open despite their safety risks.

Thirdly, behavioural risk factors remain significant barriers to Safe System implementation. Driving under the influence, use of old and non-roadworthy vehicles, insufficient driver training, and aggressive driving attitudes undermine safety outcomes. These behaviours are not effectively countered by education alone, particularly when enforcement is inconsistent and engineering measures are limited.

The findings suggest that establishing an integrated electronic enforcement system that would connect electronic ticketing, camera-based evidence processing, adjudication, demerit point allocation, fine collection, vehicle fitness certification, insurance validation, and license renewal processes within a centralized digital platform will be helpful. This integration would increase the certainty and timeliness of consequences, thereby strengthening compliance.

Conclusions

- Road safety weaknesses in Zambia are primarily systemic and institutional rather than solely behavioral.
- Enforcement requires a continuous, digitally integrated pipeline from detection to sanction to ensure certainty of consequence. An integrated electronic enforcement and licensing ecosystem is necessary
- Long-term urban and transport planning must safeguard adequate road reserves and incorporate non-motorized transport from inception.
- Engineering solutions should align with maintenance capacity while prioritizing Safe System principles of self-explaining and forgiving roads.
- Education initiatives must be reinforced by consistent enforcement and supportive infrastructure to produce measurable behavioral change.